

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF VERMONT  
FILED

2017 JUN 16 AM 10:41

CLERK

BY LAN  
DEPUTY CLERKIN THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF  
BURLINGTON, VERMONT,JOHN ROBERT DEMOS AND THE SOCIETY OF  
T.R.U.T.H. (PLAINTIFFS)

VS

GENERAL ELECTRIC, FANNIE MAE, FREDDIE MAC,  
INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES, MARSH &  
MCCLENNAN, AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL,  
BLACKSTONE GROUP, AND NAVIENT,

(DEFENDANTS)

U.S.D.C. CASE No: 2-16 CV-309,  
"NOTICE OF APPEAL" 2:16-CV-309PURSUANT TO,  
28 USC 1291 THRU 1295,  
351 U.S. 12,  
18 STAT. 470,  
519 U.S. 54,  
378 U.S. 530,  
487 U.S. 312,

TO: THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ILLUSTRATED COURT.

HEAR YE, HEAR YE, HEAR YE:

COMES NOW THE PLAINTIFFS, by AND THRU THEIR SPOKESMAN, JOHN R. DEMOS,  
WHO RESPECTFULLY AVERS, AND DECLARES THE FOLLOWING, UNDER THE PAIN,  
AND PENALTY OF PERJURY.1. ARGUMENT IN REBUTAL

A: THE COURT STATES ON PAGE #1 OF IT'S ORDER ENTERING A FILING INJUNCTION  
THAT I FAILED TO OPPOSE OR SHOW CAUSE AS TO WHY THE COURT'S 1-27-17 ORDER  
DENYING THE MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED.  
ON OR ABOUT 2-25-17 PLAINTIFF JOHN DEMOS PURSUANT TO, 487 U.S. 266,  
894 F.2d 1129, 326 F.3d 18, 24 F.3d 624, 570 F.3d 669, \*673 F.3d 452,  
516 U.S. 193, 507 U.S. 380, GAVE HIS ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE TO THE UNIT OFFICER  
FOR MAILING, IF THE UNIT OFFICER LOSES, MISPLACES, OR DELIBERATELY DESTROYS  
MY LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, PLAINTIFF DEMOS SHOULD NOT BE  
HELD ACCOUNTABLE. I INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF "EXCUSEABLE NEGLIGENCE".

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A: ON 6-6-2017, THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF VERMONT, THE HON. CHRISTINA REISS ENTERED AN INJUNCTION (A FILING INJUNCTION) AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF.

B: PLAINTIFF TAKES UMBRAGE, OR OFFENSE, AND ASSERTS THAT THE FILING INJUNCTION IS ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS, 436 U.S. 775,

C: THE ACTIONS OF CHIEF JUDGE CHRISTINA REISS COMPEL PLAINTIFF TO,

1. PREVENT A GRAVE, ODIOUS, REPUGNANT MISCARriage OF JUSTICE, IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE INTEGRITY OF THE JUDICIAL PROCESS, 760 F.2d 1039,
2. SEEK JUSTICE, NOT VICTORY, 860 F.2d 488,
3. ASSERT THAT SPECIAL & IMPORTANT REASONS EXIST FOR APPEAL TO THE 2ND CIRCUIT, 372 U.S. 391,
4. ASSERT THAT THE UNITED STATES DOES NOT HAVE TO CONFER RECOGNITION ON A FOREIGNER BEFORE HE CAN ASSERT DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY, 741 F.2d 12, 794 F.2d 806,
5. PREVENT PREJUDICE TO A SUBSTANTIAL RIGHT, 507 U.S. 725,
6. PROTECT THE PUBLIC INTEREST, 321 U.S. 321, 135 U.S. 1,
7. PREVENT Plain ERROR, 456 U.S. 152,
8. PRESENT A CASE & CONTROVERSY, 349 U.S. 237,
9. TO CONTEST THE LOWER U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FACTUAL DETERMINATIONS, 130 S.C.T. 841,
10. INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF MANIFEST NECESSITY, 241 F.3d 1242,

# REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

A. A Constitutional question is before the Court.

1. DOES JOHN DEMOS HAVE THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES? 389 U.S. 217, 404 U.S. 508,
2. PLAINTIFF JOHN DEMOS IS A "BRITISH SUBJECT," A "PROTECTED PERSON," I AM AN INHABITANT OF A PROTECTORATE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM, I AM ENTITLED TO DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY, AND PROTECTION BY THE CROWN, 184 U.S. 270, 331 U.S. 256, 4 WHEAT 246, 136 U.S. 330, 254 U.S. 433, 324 U.S. 30, 169 U.S. 649,
3. A COURT ONLY HAS JURISDICTION OVER THOSE WHO OWE THE STATE OR GOVERNMENT ALLEGIANCE, 11 U.S. 481, 326 U.S. 310,
4. IF YOU OWE ALLEGIANCE TO A FOREIGN POWER, YOU CANNOT BE A U.S. CITIZEN, 112 U.S. 101,
5. PLAINTIFF JOHN DEMOS HAS NEVER SUBSCRIBED TO, OR TAKEN A LOYALTY OATH, 71 U.S. 277, 71 U.S. 333, 384 U.S. 11,
6. MY DOMICILE, 293 U.S. 15, 232 U.S. 619, IS IN CANADA, I AM A CANADIAN NATIVE AMERICAN OF THE OJIBWE TRIBE, (OJIBWAY), PARTS OF VERMONT, AND NEW HAMPSHIRE SIT IN CANADA, I AM A RESIDENT OF VERMONT, CITIZEN, RESIDENT, & INHABITANT ARE NOT SYNONYMOUS, 252 U.S. 60, 248 U.S. 465,
7. A PERSON MAY BE A STATE CITIZEN, BUT NOT A U.S. CITIZEN, 83 U.S. 36,
8. A SUBJECT, AND A CITIZEN ARE NOT SYNONYMOUS, 15 U.S. 227, 267 U.S. 42,

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED - 266 U.S. 236,

1. IS ENGLAND AS A FOREIGN STATE ENTITLED TO DEFINE WHO ITS CITIZENS ARE? 118 F.3d 76,
2. DOES FOREIGN LAW RATHER THAN U.S. LAW GOVERN, AND CONTROL IN THIS ACTION? 871 F.2d 824,
3. DOES A FOREIGNER OWE ANY ALLEGIANCE TO THE U.S.? 267 U.S. 42,
4. DOES THE LAW OF THE FLAG CONTROL IN ALL MATTERS OF CIVIL, AND CRIMINAL JURISDICTION? 345 U.S. 571,
5. ARE PLAINTIFF'S ISSUES DEBATABLE AMONGST JURISTS OF REASON? 542 U.S. 274,
6. DO JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES HAVE A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS? 371 U.S. 236,
7. CAN THE 2ND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS INTERVENE, 450 U.S. 79, AND REVERSE THE RULING OF THE LOWER U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF VERMONT?
8. CAN AN ALIEN CLAIM DIPLOMATIC INTERVENTION IN HIS BEHALF? 342 U.S. 580,
9. CAN PLAINTIFF INVOKE THE LAW OF NATIONS? 119 S.AT. 347, 120 S.AT. 2635, 122 S.AT. 323, 80 S.AT. 811, 63 S.AT. 105, 62 S.AT. 964,
10. IS ENGLAND DEEMED A FOREIGN COUNTRY? 182 U.S. 1,
11. IS A CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION BEFORE THE COURT? 466 U.S. 485,
12. DOES THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAVE "ORIGINAL JURISDICTION" IN CASES INVOLVING FOREIGN ENTITIES? 31 U.S. 515, 30 U.S. 1,
13. IS THE RULING OF THE LOWER U.S. DISTRICT COURT FACTUALLY, ACTUALLY, AND LEGALLY INVALID? 321 U.S. 414,
14. DID THE LOWER U.S. DISTRICT COURT HAVE JURISDICTION TO RENDER ITS RULING? 505 U.S. 277,
15. IS A BRITISH SUBJECT GOVERNED BY RIGHTS OR PRIVILEGES? 408 U.S. 471,
16. ARE INDIVIDUAL INDIANS (OJIBWAY) ENTITLED TO ARTICLE III IMMUNITY? 1 S.AT. 50, 441 F. SUPP. 374, 25 USC-SEC. 450,
17. IS AN INDIAN A PERSON? 112 U.S. 94,

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1783 TReATy OF PeAcE bETWEEN ENGIAND, And THE United STATES,  
1608 TReATy bETWEEN ENGIAND And THE United STATES,  
1782 TReATy bETWEEN " " " " "  
1783 TReATy bETWEEN " " " " "  
1792 TReATy bETWEEN " " " " "  
28 USC 1603(b),  
150 F.3d 477,  
VIENNA CONVENTION ON PROTOCOLS AND CONSULAR RELATIONS,  
18 USC A 112 (d),  
912 F. SUPP. 458,  
78 STAT. 610,  
92 STAT. 1705,  
62 STAT. 688,  
22 USC 255,  
18 USC 951,

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES		PAGE NUMBER
223 U.S. 118,	* 11 U.S. 481,	
5 U.S. 137,	* 326 U.S. 310,	
332 U.S. 46,	219 U.S. 346,	
302 U.S. 319,	* 8 WHEAT. 464,	
268 U.S. 619,	324 U.S. 30,	
17 U.S. 316,	285 U.S. 1,	
* 184 U.S. 270,	403 F.3d 708,	
* 331 U.S. 256,	* 123 U.S. 443,	
* 4 WHEAT 246,	541 U.S. 677,	
* 136 U.S. 330,		
* 254 U.S. 433,		
190 U.S. 524,		
STATUTES AND RULES		
THE 1 <sup>ST</sup> AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION,		
303 U.S. 414,		
286 U.S. 319,		
242 U.S. 470,		28 USC 1613A (A);
8 USC SEC. 1101 (A) (22),		
* 36 STAT. 2163,		
THE BRITISH NATIONALITY ACT,		
THE 1907, & 1940 U.S. NATIONALITY ACTS,		
28 USC 1602-1607,		
THE 1933 EMERGENCY BANKING ACT,		
22 USC 2705,		
28 USC 1331,		
OTHER		
333 U.S. 257,		
198 U.S. 45,		
527 U.S. 620,		
429 U.S. 190,		
* 15 U.S. 227,		
* 252 U.S. 69,		
248 U.S. 465,		

AN Alien's Ties To His Homeland MAY BE CITED OFF 342 U.S. 580,  
PLAINTIFF WHO IS A HIGH RANKING FREEMASON, HAVE FELLOW MASONIC  
BROTHERS, AND SISTERS OF THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF THE EASTERN STAR WHO  
Dwell IN VERMONT, CANADA, AND NEW HAMPSHIRE.

PARIS OF VERMONT & NEW HAMPSHIRE SIT ON CANADIAN SOIL.

I INVOKE THE LAW OF RETURN, THE RIGHT TO RETURN BACK TO VERMONT,  
NEW HAMPSHIRE, OR CANADA, 29 U.S. 366, 51 U.S. 82, 38 U.S. 45,

I INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, 462 U.S. 611, AND FOREIGN  
DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY, 345 F.2d 978, 112 U.S. 101, 11 U.S. 481, 794 F.2d 806,  
\* WILL THE U.S. JEOPARDIZE, AND PUT IN PERIL ITS FOREIGN RELATIONS WITH  
GREAT BRITAIN? 240 U.S. 251,

MAY IT SO PLEASE THE COURT, FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

#### CONCLUSION

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The ~~petition for a writ of certiorari~~ should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John Robert Demos Jr.,  
(IN PROPRIA PERSONA)

Date: 6-10-2017